Date: Tue, 12 Oct 93 04:30:20 PDT

From: Ham-Ant Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-ant@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Ham-Ant-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Ham-Ant@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Ham-Ant Digest V93 #75

To: Ham-Ant

Ham-Ant Digest Tue, 12 Oct 93 Volume 93 : Issue 75

Today's Topics:

Proper J-Pole Grounding

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Ant@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Ant-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Ant Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-ant".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 1993 20:58:16 GMT

From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!library.ucla.edu!agate!news.ucdavis.edu!

bullwinkle.ucdavis.edu!szhall@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ??Coated vs noncoated diapole??BEST??

To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

Does it make any difference if u use coated or noncoated wire for ur diapole??tnx..Jeff

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 1993 22:44:10 GMT From: fluke!rem@beaver.cs.washington.edu

Subject: ??Thick or thin diapole wire best???

To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

In article <CEM2EC.8q0@ucdavis.edu> szhall@bullwinkle.ucdavis.edu () writes:

> >

>I just put up a 40 meter diapole and it works very well, I also use it for >other bands with a tunner. I am using RG 58U feed line. My question is >this: Other than strength is it better to use thicker wire for a ant. >Someone one told me if I use a thick wire I don't need to adjust the >tunner so offten beweenn freq. Thicker wire is broad band. What's ur >comment..Tnx for reading this..Jeff

Yes the thickness of the wire does make a difference and yes the thicker the broader the Q of the antenna. Therefore the bandwidth is wider. But the difference between #14 and say #10 is so small that it is hard to measure the difference at HF. VHF and UHF is a different story because the thickness is a greater portion of the wavelength at VHF/UHF than it is at HF.

Making a multi-wire "caged" dipole goes more to broadbanding an HF dipole than just increasing the size of the wire itself.

Randy AJ7B

Date: Tue, 12 Oct 1993 07:50:22 +0000

From: news.sprintlink.net!demon!cix.compulink.co.uk!jnewgas@uunet.uu.net

Subject: Camouflaged Weather Vane for 2m

To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

I live in a development which does not allow external antennas. I heard of a company which makes/sells 2m antennas disguised as Weather Vanes. If you know of this or have any other good ideas for low visiblity or camouflaged antennas for 2m I would love to hear them. John Newgas - G7LTQ in UK or N2UYI in USA.

Date: 12 Oct 1993 01:55:50 GMT

From: gumby!destroyer!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!rflab.ee.ubc.ca!davem@yale.arpa

Subject: ELNEC

To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

Just a quick question about MoM software...

Is ELNEC derived from MiniNEC or NEC2? Is it simply an enhancement of the user interface or does it incorporate other improvements??

- -

Dave Michelson

Ч	21/6	որ	ee.	пh	_	ca
u	avt	- IIIIu			ι	ı . a

Date: 12 Oct 93 08:28:23 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!rpi!ghost.dsi.unimi.it!serra!manfrin@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: FAQ wanted To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

Does anyone have the FAQ file for radio.amateur.antenna ? I really need it. Please send it to manfrin@cli.di.unipi.it. Thanks a lot.

- Samuele

Samuele Manfrin manfrin@cli.di.unipi.it	Opinions expressed here are strictly mine.
*	

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 93 21:44:22 GMT

From: csus.edu!netcom.com!netcomsv!vitsemi!rob@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: Proper J-Pole Grounding

To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

I have a copper pipe J-Pole antenna that I plan to mount on my roof.

My question is how should I ground it, especially for safety? My plan is to connect a ground wire to the base of the antenna and run that to an earth ground.

What should I use as a ground wire? I favor using copper braid. Where can I purchase this?

Thanks in advance for your answers.

73!

Rob Eccles KD6VYW | Disclaimer: The statements made here do not rob@vitsemi.COM | represent my employer or anyone else.

Date: 12 Oct 1993 00:22:36 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!destroyer!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!rflab.ee.ubc.ca!davem@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

References <nlewis.0lqd@terapin.com>, <19930ct9.171123.7950@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>, <19930ct11.231450.3158@scubed.com>.c Subject : Re: Lindenblad Antenna

In article <19930ct11.231450.3158@scubed.com>,
James R. Duffey <ji3m@scubed.com> wrote:

>The Lindenblad is a neat way to generate circular >polarization and was initially developed by Lindenblad at >RCA to reduce ghosting on TV and FM from skyscrapers in >New York City.

Without going into great detail, the notion that use of CP antennas reduces multipath fading is largely wishful thinking on the part of Kraus and others.

In an ideal setting where the ground is a *perfect* conductor, the sense of the multipath wave is indeed reversed while its ellipticity remains unchanged. In practice, incidence often occurs below the Brewster angle and the sense of the multipath wave is *NOT* reversed. Similarly, the ellipticity of the multipath wave is rarely preserved on reflection.

There was certainly a great deal of interest in the use of CP antennas to reduce multipath in TV during the late 1970's and early 1980's. The NAB Conference Proceedings and IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting contain quite a few papers on the subject. In practice, the results were extremely disappointing and the matter was quickly abandoned.

Dave Michelson davem@ee.ubc.ca

End of Ham-Ant Digest V93 #75 ************